



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087815-593 03/12/97 MARUTA M 1422-0297

IM11/1208
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
P O BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
YOON, T	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	14
DATE MAILED: 12/08/98	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) ☒ is extended to run 4 mos or continues to run from the date of the final rejection

b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☐ Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11-30-98, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☒ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims added: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: 2-7, 22-35 and 37

However,

☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit of request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because see attachment

5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
☐ Other

Tae Yoon
TAE YOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500

Art Unit: 1511

ATTACHEMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's assertion that the present claims require that the different powder coatings have different colors has no probative value absent particular colors since different polymers yield different colors after coating and since the degree of difference in color is not a limitation. As to new claim 37, Millar teaches various colored powder coatings such as black (example I), white (example III) or clear (example VII). Thus, the use of white powder coating with other colored powder (black powder) coating to obtain a different color (gray) with the teaching of one final layer (col. 4, lines 8-15) is a prima facie. The examiner maintains the interpretation of Millar's teaching, col. 4, lines 8-15. For example, two colored powders having quite similar dielectric constants and quite similar specific gravities in an electrostatic coating would inherently yield a visually homogeneous hue due to a homogeneous coating of the mixed two colors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thr from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/December 7, 1998



TAE YOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500